

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.) Criminal No. 2019-35
)
JIMMY DAVIS,)
)
Defendant.)

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney
Everard E. Potter, AUSA
United States Attorney's Office
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For the United States of America,

Adam Christian
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For Jimmy Davis.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Jimmy Davis ("Davis") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including September 9, 2019.

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Davis time to review supplemental discovery provided in this matter. Second, Davis made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Davis would be denied reasonable time necessary to prepare for trial.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted."

United States v. Fields, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); *United States v. Dota*, 33 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also *United States v. Lattany*, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense."); *United States v. Brooks*, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was

United States v. Davis

Criminal No. 2019-35

Order

Page 3

complex and required additional time for adequate

preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through September 9, 2019, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Davis must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

s\

Curtis V. Gómez
District Judge